

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD/S/GB/1</p> <p>UDP – S/GB1.1</p> <p>Site - Ladderbanks Primary School, Coverdale Way, Baildon</p> <p>IR – Shipley page 105</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of proposal S/GB1.1 and the retention of land at Ladderbanks Primary School, Coverdale Way, Baildon within the Green Belt.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/2</p>
<p>SD/S/GB/2</p> <p>UDP – S/GB1.2 & S/OS1.9</p> <p>Site - Area Surrounding Baildon Green, Baildon Bank, former Belmont, Saltaire and Glenaire Schools</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 86 - 87, 105 - 107</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of the Green Belt designation from those parts of this objection site which bear that designation, and by the allocation of the majority of the objection site as urban greenspace, the detailed boundaries of which, and of the Green Belt, the Council should decide.</p>	<p>Decision: Reject</p> <p>Reasons: The Inspector gives detailed consideration to this site in his Report. In paragraph 13.3 he recognises that the entire site was proposed as a Green Belt deletion in the 1st Deposit Plan, but following consideration of objections the majority of the site was reinstated as Green Belt at Revised Deposit.</p> <p>The site can be broken down into three parts. The wider area is described in paragraph 13.4, where the Inspector states that the objection land is a relatively narrow finger of land between two parts of the settlement. With developments to the north and south of the land forming part of Baildon. The land does not prevent neighbouring towns from merging, nor does the land safeguard the countryside from encroachment or check the unrestricted sprawl of the built up area.</p> <p>The two further areas surrounding Glenaire and Salts Grammar Schools, and Sandal School are discussed in paragraph 13.6 and 13.9, where the Inspector states, that these are parts of the wider Baildon Bank area and the conclusions for each of them are the same as for the area as a whole. In that they do not meet the purposes of including land within the Green Belt. The Council agrees with these conclusions.</p> <p>In paragraph 13.5 the Inspector concludes that the site does not function as Green Belt land. He refers to his general Green Belt conclusions in the Policy Framework, which detail the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the Green Belt and by inference, this site. However, in paragraph 3.19 and 3.20 of the Inspector’s Report into the Policy Framework the Inspector sets out the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the Green Belt, these include the need for land to meet development needs for housing and safeguarded land. As well as removing land from the Green Belt to resolve anomalies in the original delineation of Green Belt boundaries, or which have arisen since the boundaries were first defined.</p> <p>Neither scenario applies in this instance, as the Inspector recommends that the</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/3</p>

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
		majority of the site is designated as an Urban Greenspace (a protective designation), therefore it is not required to meet development land needs. Nor does its removal from the Green Belt resolve a boundary anomaly. On this basis the Council considers that the Inspector’s recommendation is fundamentally flawed, as it does not set out the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the Green Belt as set out in paragraphs 2.6 and 2.7 of Planning Policy Guidance Note: 2 ‘Green Belts’. The Council therefore rejects the Inspector’s recommendation to remove this site from the Green Belt.	
SD/S/GB/3 UDP - S/GB1.6, S/OS7.3 & SOM/S/OS2/47 Site – Ellar Gardens, Menston IR – Shipley pages 90 - 91, 101 - 102		See statement of decisions ref. SD/S/OS/10 relating to S/OS7.3	Mod/S/O S/5
SD/S/GB/4 UDP – S/GB1.7 & SOM/S/GB1/387 Site – Meadowside Road, Baildon IR – Shipley page 107	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: The Inspector in considering this site concludes in paragraph 13.11 that the area to be added to the Green Belt is a small piece of open land that satisfies a number of the purposes of including land within the Green Belt. The present Green Belt boundary is an anomaly. In considering the alternative boundary proposed by the objector, the Inspector concludes that this takes in further open land and follows no natural features on the ground. The Council agrees with the above conclusions. The Inspector in making his recommendations to add this site to the Green Belt has not made reference to the court case Copas V The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead [2001] (JPL 1169), despite it being referred to in the evidence put to him at the Inquiry. This held that a very specific test has to be applied when adding land to the Green Belt. The test provides that exceptional circumstances will not exist to necessitate a change to add land to a Green Belt, unless “some fundamental assumption which caused the land initially to be excluded from the Green Belt is thereafter clearly and permanently falsified by a later event”. In this instance there was a mistake in defining the Green Belt in the adopted UDP. The realisation of the mistake is the later event which clearly and permanently falsifies that earlier	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
		<p>assumption.</p> <p>The Inspector was aware of the tests to be applied in making his recommendations, as reference was made to the above court case in the Council's proof of evidence to the RUDP Inquiry. On this basis the Council accepts the Inspector's recommendation to add this land to the Green Belt, but for differently expressed reasons.</p>	
<p>SD/S/GB/5</p> <p>UDP – S/GB1.9</p> <p>Site - Nab Wood Drive, Nab Wood</p> <p>IR – Shipley page 108</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of proposal S/GB1.9 and the retention of land at Nab Wood Drive, Nab Wood within the Green Belt.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/5</p>
<p>SD/S/GB/6</p> <p>UDP – S/GB1.15</p> <p>Site – Saltaire Road, Eldwick</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 108 - 109</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of proposal S/GB1.15 and the retention of land at Saltaire Road, Eldwick within the Green Belt.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted.</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/6</p>
<p>SD/S/GB/7</p> <p>UDP – S/GB1.21</p> <p>Site – Land at Shipley Golf Club, Beckfoot Lane, Cottingley</p> <p>IR – Shipley page 109</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the amendment of proposal S/GB1.21 to exclude from the Green Belt only the clubhouse and car park, with the retention of the remainder of the proposal land within the Green Belt.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted.</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/7</p>

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD/S/GB/8 UDP – S/GB1.22 Site - Turf Lane, Cullingworth IR – Shipley pages 109 - 110	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report	N/A
SD/S/GB/9 UDP – S/GB1.30 Site – Goose Hall, Wilsden IR – Shipley page 110	I recommend that no modification be made to RDDP.	Decision: Accepted. Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/10 UDP - S/GB1.33 Site - Land between Moor Lane and Bleach Mill Lane, Menston IR – Shipley Page 110	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the amendment of proposal S/GB1.33 to relate only to the dwelling and immediate curtilage, with retention of the paddock to the rear of Pantiles, Moor Lane, Menston within the Green Belt.	Decision: Accept Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspectors Report.	Mod/S/G B/8
SD/S/GB/11 UDP – S/GB1.34 Site – Craven Park, Menston IR – Shipley page 111	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD/S/GB/12</p> <p>UDP – SOM/S/H1/121 & SOM/S/GB1/121 Derry Hill, Menston</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 33 - 35</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of the objection site at Derry Hill, Menston, from the Green Belt and by the site’s allocation as phase 2 housing site.</p>	<p>Decision: Accept</p> <p>Reasons: The Council accepts that the land at Derry Hill should be removed from the Green Belt and designated as a phase 2 housing site, but does not agree in full with the reasoning contained in paragraph 6.55 of the Inspector’s Report. In particular the Council has not accepted the Inspector’s view that the plan should provide for a Green Belt, which would endure as far ahead as 2026. Details of the Council’s full response on this matter are contained within Statement of Decisions ref. SD/PF/PP/4</p> <p>The Council does however accept that exceptional circumstances need to be demonstrated for land to be removed from the Green Belt and accepts the Inspector’s view in paragraph 6.55 that the need to meet housing needs in order to meet the housing requirement over the totality of the Plan period and to ensure a long term Green Belt beyond the Plan period, is an exceptional circumstance which can justify such Green Belt deletions; even in the context of the Council’s view that a shorter Plan period than that suggested by the Inspector should be adopted. This reflects the advice contained within Planning Policy Guidance Note: 2 ‘Green Belts’ that when local planning authorities prepare new or revised plans, any proposals affecting Green Belt should be related to a timescale which is longer than that normally adopted for other aspects of the plan and that they should satisfy themselves that Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the plan period.</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/9</p>
<p>SD/S/GB/13</p> <p>UDP - SOM/S/H1/122.01, SOM/S/H2/122.01, SOM/S/UR5/122.01, SOM/S/GB1/122.01 & SOM/S/NE3/122.01</p> <p>Site - Land at Bradford Road, Menston</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 35 - 36</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report</p>	<p>N/A</p>

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD/S/GB/14 UDP - SOM/S/H1/123 & SOM/S/GB1/123 Site - Glenview Drive, Shipley IR – Shipley page 37	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report	N/A
SD/S/GB/15 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/179 Site – Hirstwood Nursery, Hirst Mill Crescent, Saltaire IR – Shipley pages 113 & 114	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/16 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/180, SOM/S/H1/180 Site – Milnerfield, Gilstead IR – Shipley pages 38 & 39	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/17 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/181 & SOM/S/NR16/181 Site - Rose Garden, Victoria Road, Saltaire IR – Shipley pages 114, 115 & 133	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD/S/GB/18 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/185, SOM/S/H1/185 Site – Heatherlands Avenue, Denholme IR – Shipley page 115	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/19 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/187, SOM/S/H1/187, SOM/S/H2/187 & SOM/S/UR5/187 Site – Land at Cottingley Moor, Cottingley IR – Shipley pages 39 - 40	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/20 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/188/1 Site – Land at Sun Lane, Burley in Wharfedale IR – Shipley page 116	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD/S/GB/21</p> <p>UDP – SOM/S/GB1/189/1 (SOM/S/H2/189/1, SOM/S/UR5/189/1), SOM/S/GB1/189.01/1, (SOM/S/H2/189.01/1, SOM/S/UR5/189.01/1)</p> <p>Site – Oakleigh, West Lane, Baildon</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 116 - 118</p>	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.</p>	N/A
<p>SD/S/GB/22</p> <p>UDP – SOM/S/GB1/190/1 & SOM/S/H1/190/1</p> <p>Site – Haworth Road, Bob Lane, Wilsden</p> <p>IR – Shipley page 118</p>	I recommend no modification be made to the RDDP.	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.</p>	N/A
<p>SD/S/GB/23</p> <p>UDP – SOM/S/GB1/191/1, SOM/S/H1/191/1, SOM/S/GB1/191.01/1, SOM/S/H1/191.01/1 & SOM/S/H2/191.01/1</p> <p>Site – Land at Micklethwaite Lane, Micklethwaite</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 118 to 120</p>	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.</p>	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD/S/GB/24</p> <p>UDP - SOM/S/H1/193.01, SOM/S/UR5/193.01& SOM/S/GB1/193.01</p> <p>Site – Endor Crescent, Burley in Wharfedale</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 40 - 41</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion from the Green Belt of this objection site at Endor Crescent, Burley in Wharfedale, and by the allocation of the site as safeguarded land under the terms of Policy UR5.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: In reaching his conclusions to remove this site from the Green Belt and allocate it as Safeguarded Land the Inspector was aware in paragraph 6.97 that the site is open land located within the adopted Green Belt, but that the need for additional housing and safeguarded land is an exceptional circumstance for reviewing the inclusion of the site within the Green Belt. The Council agrees with this view but for differently expressed reasons.</p> <p>In paragraph 3.14 of the Report into the Policy Framework the Inspector concludes that the life of the Green Belt should endure until 2026. The Council does not agree with this view and its full response on this matter is addressed in the Statement of Decisions for Policy UDP2 ref. SD/PF/PP/4. However, the Council does accept that exceptional circumstances exist for land to be removed from the Green Belt and allocated as safeguarded land to meet long term development needs beyond the Plan period, albeit in the context that the shorter Plan period of 2021 should be applied.</p> <p>The Inspector in paragraph 6.99 concludes that the review of the Green Belt is an exceptional circumstance for removing this site from the Green Belt. The Council disagrees with this view, as a Green Belt review is not in itself an exceptional circumstance. This is substantiated in paragraph 2.7 of Planning Policy Guidance Note: 2 ‘Green Belts’ which states that exceptional circumstances only exist that “necessitate” a change to the Green Belt. In this instance, this is the need for development land to meet long term development needs.</p> <p>The Inspector goes on to conclude that this site is sandwiched between existing housing and trees along the former railway line, with Bradford Road forming the eastern boundary. In paragraph 6.98 he states that Bradford Road and the former railway line provide firmer boundaries to the Green Belt than the rear garden boundaries along Endor Crescent. However, he disagrees with the adopted UDP Inspector by concluding that the site relates more to the built up area of Burley than the open countryside between Burley and Menston. A contributory factor to this is the maturity and density of vegetation along the embankment, which obscure views to the countryside beyond. The Council agrees with these views.</p> <p>In designating the site as Safeguarded Land the Inspector acknowledges in paragraph 6.101 that there is insufficient road frontage to provide a highway access with adequate visibility splays onto Bradford Road, but that this may be capable of solution in the longer term.</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/10</p>

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
		The Council accepts the Inspector’s recommendation to remove this site from the Green Belt and allocate it as Safeguarded Land, but for different reasons.	
SD/S/GB/25 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/201.01, SOM/S/H1/201.01, SOM/S/GB1/201/1 Site – Chelston House, Wilsden Road, Harden IR – Shipley pages 41 - 42	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/26 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/298/1, SOM/S/H1/298/1, SOM/S/H2/298/1 & SOM/S/UR5/298/1 Site – The Rowans, Baildon IR – Shipley pages 42 & 43	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/27 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/300, SOM/S/H1/300, SOM/S/GB1/300.01, SOM/S/H1/300.01, SOM/S/GB1/300.02 & SOM/S/H1/300.02 Site – Land at Bents Lane and Harden Lane, Wilsden IR – Shipley pages 43 - 44	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD/S/GB/28</p> <p>UDP – SOM/S/H1/301, SOM/GB1/301, SOM/S/H1/301.01 & SOM/GB1/301.01</p> <p>Site – Bingley Road, Menston</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 44 – 46, 120 –121. (See also 124 – 125 relating to SOM/S/GB3/398)</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:</p> <p>[a] The Green Belt designation be deleted from the Green Belt objection site, except for the south-western field, which should remain in the Green Belt.</p> <p>[b] The housing objection site be allocated as a phase 2 housing site, with the exception of the south-western field.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: The Council accepts that the land at Bingley Road should be removed from the Green Belt and designated as a phase 2 housing site, but does not agree in full with the reasoning contained in paragraph 6.123 of the Inspector’s Report. In particular the Council has not accepted the Inspector’s view that the Plan should provide for a Green Belt, which would endure as far ahead as 2026. Details of the Council’s full response on this matter are contained within the Statement of Decisions ref. SD/PF/PP/4</p> <p>The Council does however accept that exceptional circumstances need to be demonstrated for land to be removed from the Green Belt and accepts the Inspector’s view in paragraph 6.123 that the need to meet housing needs in order to meet the housing requirement over the totality of the Plan period and to ensure a long term Green Belt beyond the Plan period, is an exceptional circumstance which can justify such Green Belt deletions; even in the context of the Council’s view that a shorter Plan period than that suggested by the Inspector should be adopted. This reflects the advice contained within Planning Policy Guidance Note: 2 ‘Green Belts’ that when local planning authorities prepare new or revised plans, any proposals affecting Green Belt should be related to a timescale which is longer than that normally adopted for other aspects of the plan and that they should satisfy themselves that Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the plan period.</p> <p>The Inspector recommends that the majority of the objection site be removed from the Green Belt and allocated as a Phase 2 Housing Site (excluding some existing housing on Bingley Road).</p> <p>Whilst the Inspector recognises that the site performs a Green Belt role in the separation of Menston from Guiseley, a housing development could be contained within the lower lying parts of the site thereby controlling urban sprawl and encroachment into the countryside. The higher and steeper southwestern field, which forms part of the objection site, should remain in the Green Belt.</p> <p>The Inspector found the site to be in a sustainable location, but due to the position of Menston in the Plan’s settlement hierarchy and RPG12 should be identified for development as a Phase 2 housing site.</p> <p>Whilst the Council agrees with the conclusions in the above three paragraphs, in</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/11</p>

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
		paragraphs 6.124 & 6.128 the Inspector goes on to conclude that open space provision could be provided on the higher southwestern section. It is however the Council’s intention that open space provision be negotiated, using open space policies in the Plan, at planning application stage.	
SD/S/GB/29 UDP – SOM/GB1/303, SOM/S/H1/303.01& SOM/S/CF3/301.02 Site – Land adjacent to Parkside School, Cullingworth IR – Shipley pages 46 – 47, 81 & 121	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/30 UDP – SOM/GB1/304, SOM/S/OS7/304 Site – Parkside School Playing Fields, Cullingworth IR – Shipley pages 103, 121 – 122	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report. However, the Inspector notes in paragraph 13.74 that the Playing Fields notation (Policy OS3) overlaps the school buildings, but makes no recommendation to amend this anomaly. As a consequence the Council proposes to delete the Playing Field notation from that part of the site that overlaps the school buildings.	Mod/S/G B/12
SD/S/GB/31 UDP - SOM/S/GB1/307, SOM/S/CF4/307 Site – Yorkshire Clinic, Bradford Road, Cottingley IR – Shipley pages 83 - 84	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD/S/GB/32 UDP - SOM/S/GB1/308, SOM/S/CF4/308 Site – Cottingley Hall, Bradford Road, Cottingley IR – Shipley pages 83 - 84	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/33 UDP - SOM/S/GB1/317, SOM/S/H1/317 Site - Bleach Mill Lane, Menston IR – Shipley page 47	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/34 UDP - SOM/S/GB1/318, SOM/S/H1/318 Site - Grange Farm, off Burley Lane, Menston IR – Shipley page 48	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accept Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/35 UDP –SOM/S/GB1/375.01, SOM/S/H1.375.01 & SOM/S/GB1/375 Site – Trench Wood Barn, Higher Coach Road, Baildon IR – Shipley, pages 48-49	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD/S/GB/36 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/388 Site – Paddock adjacent to Orchard, Sheriff Lane, Eldwick IR – Shipley page 122	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/37 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/392, SOM/S/GB3/392, SOM/S/H1/392 Site – New Brighton, Cottingley IR – Shipley pages 122 - 123 & 124	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/38 UDP – SOM/S/GB1/395, SOM/S/GB3/395 Site – West of Moor Road, Burley Woodhead IR – Shipley pages 123 - 124	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	N/A
SD/S/GB/39 UDP – SOM/S/GB3/397 Site – Moor Lane, Menston IR – Shipley page 124-125	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP other than that made in relation to SOM/S/H1/301.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report. Please note that this site is not affected by the recommendation relating to SOM/S/GB1/301 Bingley Road, Menston (See Statement of Decision ref. SD/S/GB/28)	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD/S/GB/40</p> <p>UDP –SOM/S/GB3/398</p> <p>Site – Bingley Road, Menston</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 124 – 125. (See also pages 44 – 46, & 120 – 121 relating to SOM/S/GB1/301)</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP other than that made in relation to SOM/S/H1/301.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: The Inspector recognises in paragraph 13.89 that by virtue of the recommendation relating to the larger objection site at Bingley Road, SOM/S/GB1/301 that this site will be omitted from the Green Belt.</p> <p>The Council accepts the Inspector's recommendations relating to this site and it is therefore proposed that it be deleted from the Green Belt and allocated as a Phase 2 Housing Site as part of the wider Green Belt deletion and allocation relating to SOM/S/GB1/301 (See Statement of Decisions ref. SD/S/GB/28 and Modification ref. Mod/S/GB/11)</p>	<p>Mod/S/G B/11</p>
<p>SD/S/GB/41</p> <p>UDP –SOM/S/GB3/399</p> <p>Site – Hillcrest, Derry Hill, Menston</p> <p>IR – Shipley pages 124 - 125</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP other than that made in relation to SOM/S/H1/301.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report. Please note that this site is not affected by the recommendation relating to SOM/S/GB1/301 Bingley Road, Menston. (See Statement of Decision ref. SD/S/GB/28)</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>SD/S/GB/42</p> <p>UDP – SOM/S/GB7/400 & SOM/S/GB6A/400</p> <p>Site – Graincliffe Reservoir & Water Treatment Works</p> <p>IR – Shipley page125</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>SD/S/GB/43</p> <p>UDP – SOM/S/GB7/407 & SOM/S/GB6A/407</p> <p>Site – Dowley Gap Waste Water Treatment Works</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.</p>	<p>Decision: Accepted</p> <p>Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.</p>	<p>N/A</p>

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Shipley Chapter 13 Green Belt

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Shipley pages 125 - 126			
SD/S/GB/44 UDP – POL/S/GB7 Site – Scalebor Park, Major Developed Site in the Green Belt IR – Shipley pages 126 - 127	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s Report.	N/A